



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,475	01/12/2001	James E. McGarvey	80606PRC	1736
7590 07/13/2005			EXAMINER	
Thomas H. Close			LONG, HEATHER R	
Patent Legal Staff		I Down I Day	D. DCD \411 (DED	
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street			2615	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 07/13/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/759,475	MCGARVEY, JAMES E.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Heather R. Long	2615	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 April 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un		•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 1/12/01, 7/26/04, a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous of the continuous objected to by the Example of the continuous objected to be conti	$\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{4}{27/05}$ is/are: a) \square acces to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in a e priority documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
C. Datast and Tradamark Office			

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6, 9, 12-21, 27-30, and 32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 12, 15-20, 27-30, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorpe et al. ("The All-Digital Camcorder The Arrival of Electronic Cinematography") in view of Suzuki (U.S. Patent 5,691,772).

Regarding claim 1, Thorpe et al. discloses a white balance picture correction process implemented in a digital camera having a processor, a memory, and a user interface, comprising the steps of: determining a white balance digital camera processing setting for a picture taking venue at a visit to the venue; saving the setting for the venue; and correcting pictures taken at a subsequent visit to the venue with the saved setting (page 23: col. 1, lines 20-36; page 24: col. 2, line 7 – col. 3, line 11; Table 5); the determining step further comprising capturing an image utilizing the digital camera and processing the captured image in the process of the digital camera to determine the white

balance setting (page 22: col. 3, line 16 – page 23: col. 1, line 3); the saving step further comprising storing the white balance setting in the memory of the digital camera in a file having an identifier which allows a user of the digital camera to correlate the identifier with the venue (page 24: col. 2, lines 2-6); the memory being configurable to store the determined white balance setting for use in the correcting step (page 23: col. 1, lines 20-26; Fig. 6). However Thorpe et al. fails to disclose that the memory is configurable to store the determined white balance setting and at least one additional white balance setting for another picture taking venue, the determined white balance setting being selectable from the plurality of stored white balance settings via the user interface of the digital camera.

Referring to the Suzuki reference, Suzuki discloses a white balance correction process implemented in a digital camera, wherein the memory being configurable to store the determined white balance setting and at least one additional setting for another picture taking venue, the determined white balance setting being selectable from the plurality of stored white balance settings, for use in the correcting step, via the user interface of the digital camera (col. 4, lines 57-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings from Suzuki that more than one white balance setting may be stored in the same memory in the Thorpe et al. reference in order to provide the user with more than one option on each memory card, which allows the user to carry around less cards. However,

Art Unit: 2615

Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki still fails to explicitly state that the white balance setting is saved in a file having an identifier that allows a user of the digital camera to correlate the identifier with the venue.

Official Notice is taken that once the memory card has more than one setting on it for different venues then a file identifier is needed in order to allow the user to select which setting is to be used. Furthermore, Suzuki has already stored settings in the digital camera comprising file names corresponding to weather conditions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added an identifier to white balance settings to distinguish one from the other on the memory card so that the file is easily accessible.

Regarding claim 3, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as disclosing the saving step comprises assigning an identifier to the setting (Thorpe et al.: page 24: col. 2, lines 2-6).

Regarding claim **4**, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as disclosing the identifier comprises a file name (Suzuki: col. 4, lines 57-65; Fig. 4, col. 5, line 62 – col. 5, line 32). In Suzuki the different files have to have a filename in order for the user to select which white balancing mode they prefer in the manual mode.

Regarding claim 6, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as the saving step comprises

Art Unit: 2615

storing the setting in a removable, non-volatile memory (Thorpe et al.: page 23, line 20; Fig. 16).

Regarding claim **9**, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as including that the correcting step is performed contemporaneous with taking of the pictures at a venue (Thorpe et al.: page 23: col. 3, line 3 – page 24: col. 1, line 6; when trying to achieve a specific image look the pictures are correcting contemporaneous with the taking of the pictures).

Regarding claim **12**, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as including that the determined setting further comprises an image sharpness setting, a contrast setting, and a colorfulness setting (Thorpe et al.: page 23: col. 1, lines 20-36; page 21: col. 1, lines 28-30; Table 5).

Regarding claim **15**, Thorpe et al. discloses a process implemented in a digital camera having a processor, a memory, and a user interface, comprising the steps of: determining an image processing setting for a picture taking venue; saving the setting for the venue (page 23: col. 1, lines 20-36; page 24: col. 2, line 7 – col. 3, line 11; Table 5); the determining step further comprising capturing an image utilizing the digital camera and processing the captured image in the process of the digital camera to determine the image processing setting (page 22: col. 3, line 16 – page 23: col. 1, line 3); the saving step further comprising storing the image processing setting in the memory of the digital camera in a file

Art Unit: 2615

having an identifier which allows a user of the digital camera to correlate the identifier with the venue (page 24: col. 2, lines 2-6); the memory being configurable to store the determined white balance setting for use in correcting one or more additional captured images (page 23: col. 1, lines 20-26; Fig. 6). However Thorpe et al. fails to disclose that the memory is configurable to store the determined white balance setting and at least one additional white balance setting for another picture taking venue, the determined white balance setting being selectable from the plurality of stored white balance settings via the user interface of the digital camera.

Referring to the Suzuki reference, Suzuki discloses a white balance correction process implemented in a digital camera, wherein the memory being configurable to store the determined white balance setting and at least one additional setting for another picture taking venue, the determined white balance setting being selectable from the plurality of stored white balance settings, for use in the correcting step, via the user interface of the digital camera (col. 4, lines 57-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings from Suzuki that more than one white balance setting may be stored in the same memory in the Thorpe et al. reference in order to provide the user with more than one option on each memory card, which allows the user to carry around less cards. However, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki still fails to explicitly state that the white balance

Art Unit: 2615

setting is saved in a file having an identifier that allows a user of the digital camera to correlate the identifier with the venue.

Official Notice is taken that once the memory card has more than one setting on it for different venues then a file identifier is needed in order to allow the user to select which setting is to be used. Furthermore, Suzuki has already stored settings in the digital camera comprising file names corresponding to weather conditions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added an identifier to white balance settings to distinguish one from the other on the memory card so that the file is easily accessible.

Regarding claim **16**, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as including that the determined setting further comprises a white balance setting (Thorpe et al.: page 23: col. 1, lines 20-36; page 21: col. 1, lines 28-30; Table 5).

Regarding claim **17**, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as including that the determined setting further comprises an image sharpness setting (Thorpe et al.: page 23: col. 1, lines 20-36; page 21: col. 1, lines 28-30; Table 5).

Regarding claim **18**, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as including that the determined setting further comprises an image contrast setting (Thorpe et al.: page 23: col. 1, lines 20-36; page 21: col. 1, lines 28-30; Table 5).

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claim **19**, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as including that the determined setting further comprises an image colorfulness setting (Thorpe et al.: page 23: col. 1, lines 20-36; page 21: col. 1, lines 28-30; Table 5).

Regarding claim **20**, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as including that the determined setting further comprises one of an image white balance setting, an image sharpness setting, a contrast setting, and a colorfulness setting (Thorpe et al.: page 23: col. 1, lines 20-36; page 21: col. 1, lines 28-30; Table 5).

Regarding claim **27**, Thorpe et al. discloses a computer readable storage medium controlling a digital camera via a white balance setting and a file name corresponding to the white balance setting, the storage medium being configurable to store the determined white balance setting determined from images captured by the digital camera at the venues (page 23: col. 1, lines 20-36; page 24: col. 2, line 7 – col. 3, line 11; Table 5; Fig. 6; page 24: col. 2, lines 2-6), each of the white balance settings being stored in a file having a file name which allows a user of the digital camera to correlate the file name with a corresponding one of the venues (page 24: col. 2, lines 2-6), wherein the stored white balance setting is used in correcting one or more additional images captured by the digital camera (page 23: col. 1, lines 20-26; Fig. 6). However Thorpe et al. fails to disclose that the memory is configurable to store the determined white balance setting and at least one additional white balance

Art Unit: 2615

setting for another picture taking venue, the determined white balance setting being selectable from the plurality of stored white balance settings via the user interface of the digital camera.

Referring to the Suzuki reference, Suzuki discloses a white balance correction process implemented in a digital camera, wherein the memory being configurable to store the determined white balance setting and at least one additional setting for another picture taking venue, the determined white balance setting being selectable from the plurality of stored white balance settings, for use in the correcting step, via the user interface of the digital camera (col. 4, lines 57-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings from Suzuki that more than one white balance setting may be stored in the same memory in the Thorpe et al. reference in order to provide the user with more than one option on each memory card, which allows the user to carry around less cards. However, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki still fails to explicitly state that the white balance setting is saved in a file having an identifier that allows a user of the digital camera to correlate the identifier with the venue.

Official Notice is taken that once the memory card has more than one setting on it for different venues then a file identifier is needed in order to allow the user to select which setting is to be used. Furthermore, Suzuki has already stored settings in the digital camera comprising file names corresponding to

weather conditions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added an identifier to white balance settings to distinguish one from the other on the memory card so that the file is easily accessible.

Regarding claim 28, Thorpe et al. discloses a digital camera, comprising: a sensor capturing images in an initial visit to a venue and a subsequent visit to the venue (Fig. 10); a lens for imaging light onto the sensor; a white balance determination processing unit determining a white balance correction value from a captured image of the initial visit; a memory storing the white balance correction value from the initial visit; and a white balance correction processing unit applying the white balance correction value to the captured image of the subsequent visit producing a white balance corrected image (page 23: col. 1, lines 20-36; page 24: col. 2, line 7 – col. 3, line 11; Table 5); wherein the white balance correction value is stored in a file having an identifier which allows a user of the digital camera to correlate the identifier with the venue (page 24: col. 2, lines 2-6); the memory being configurable to store the determined white balance setting for use in the correcting step (page 23: col. 1, lines 20-26; Fig. 6). However Thorpe et al. fails to disclose that the memory is configurable to store the determined white balance setting and at least one additional white balance setting for another picture taking venue, the determined white balance setting being selectable from the plurality of stored white balance settings via the user interface of the digital camera.

Referring to the Suzuki reference, Suzuki discloses a white balance correction process implemented in a digital camera, wherein the memory being configurable to store the determined white balance setting and at least one additional setting for another picture taking venue, the determined white balance setting being selectable from the plurality of stored white balance settings, for use in the correcting step, via the user interface of the digital camera (col. 4, lines 57-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings from Suzuki that more than one white balance setting may be stored in the same memory in the Thorpe et al. reference in order to provide the user with more than one option on each memory card, which allows the user to carry around less cards. However, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki still fails to explicitly state that the white balance setting is saved in a file having an identifier that allows a user of the digital camera to correlate the identifier with the venue.

Official Notice is taken that once the memory card has more than one setting on it for different venues then a file identifier is needed in order to allow the user to select which setting is to be used. Suzuki has already stored settings in the digital camera comprising file names corresponding to weather conditions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added an identifier to white balance settings

to distinguish one from the other on the memory card so that the file is easily accessible.

Furthermore, Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to take still pictures with a camcorder. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented this camcorder with the option of taking continuous or still images in order to make this camcorder a more versatile camcorder.

Regarding claim 29, Thorpe et al. discloses a digital camera, comprising: a sensor capturing images (Fig. 10); a lens for imaging light onto the sensor; a white balance determination processing unit determining white balance correction values from a captured images; a memory storing the white balance correction value; and a white balance correction processing unit applying a selected the white balance correction value to a plurality of captured images producing white balance correcting images (page 23: col. 1, lines 20-24 and 32-36; page 24: col. 1, line 7 – col. 3, line 11; Table 5). However Thorpe et al. fails to disclose that the memory is configurable to store the determined white balance setting and at least one additional white balance setting for another picture taking venue, the determined white balance setting being selectable from the plurality of stored white balance settings via the user interface of the digital camera.

Referring to the Suzuki reference, Suzuki discloses a white balance correction process implemented in a digital camera, wherein the memory being configurable to store the determined white balance setting and at least one

additional setting for another picture taking venue, the determined white balance setting being selectable from the plurality of stored white balance settings, for use in the correcting step, via the user interface of the digital camera (col. 4, lines 57-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings from Suzuki that more than one white balance setting may be stored in the same memory in the Thorpe et al. reference in order to provide the user with more than one option on each memory card, which allows the user to carry around less cards. However, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki still fails to explicitly state that the white balance setting is saved in a file having an identifier that allows a user of the digital camera to correlate the identifier with the venue.

Official Notice is taken that once the memory card has more than one setting on it for different venues then a file identifier is needed in order to allow the user to select which setting is to be used and that the camera comprises a user interface for naming the plurality of white balance correction values and for selecting from among a plurality of named white balance correction values.

Suzuki has already stored settings in the digital camera comprising file names corresponding to weather conditions, which the user is permitted to select the setting according to the current weather conditions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added an identifier to white balance settings that the user inputs into the

Art Unit: 2615

camera to distinguish one setting from the another on the memory card so that the file is easily recognizable and allowing the user to choose the setting the user desires to obtain a higher picture quality and to gain a particular image.

Furthermore, Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to take still pictures with a camcorder. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented this camcorder with the option of taking continuous or still images in order to make this camcorder a more versatile camcorder.

Regarding claim **30**, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1 as well as including that the memory comprises a non-volatile removable memory card that can be used to transfer correction value to other devices (Thorpe et al.: page 23: col. 1, line 39 – col. 2, line 4).

Regarding claim **32**, Thorpe et al. discloses a digital camera, comprising: a sensor capturing images (Fig. 10); a lens for imaging light onto the sensor; a memory storing the white balance correction value; and a white balance correction processing unit applying the white balance correction value to a plurality of captured images producing white balance correcting images (page 23: col. 1, lines 20-24 and 32-36; page 24: col. 1, line 7 – col. 3, line 11; Table 5). However Thorpe et al. fails to disclose that the memory is configurable to store the determined white balance setting and at least one additional white balance setting for another picture taking venue, the determined white balance setting

Art Unit: 2615

being selectable from the plurality of stored white balance settings via the user interface of the digital camera.

Referring to the Suzuki reference, Suzuki discloses a white balance correction process implemented in a digital camera, wherein the memory being configurable to store the determined white balance setting and at least one additional setting for another picture taking venue, the determined white balance setting being selectable from the plurality of stored white balance settings, for use in the correcting step, via the user interface of the digital camera (col. 4, lines 57-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the teachings from Suzuki that more than one white balance setting may be stored in the same memory in the Thorpe et al. reference in order to provide the user with more than one option on each memory card, which allows the user to carry around less cards. However, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki still fails to explicitly state that the white balance setting is saved in a file having an identifier that allows a user of the digital camera to correlate the identifier with the venue.

Official Notice is taken that once the memory card has more than one setting on it for different venues then a file identifier is needed in order to allow the user to select which setting is to be used and that the camera comprises a user interface for naming the plurality of white balance correction values and for selecting from among a plurality of named white balance correction values.

Art Unit: 2615

Suzuki has already stored settings in the digital camera comprising file names corresponding to weather conditions, which the user is permitted to select the setting according to the current weather conditions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added an identifier to white balance settings that the user inputs into the camera to distinguish one setting from the another on the memory card so that the file is easily recognizable and allowing the user to choose the setting the user desires to obtain a higher picture quality and to gain a particular image.

Furthermore, Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to take still pictures with a camcorder. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented this camcorder with the option of taking continuous or still images in order to make this camcorder a more versatile camcorder.

4. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of D'Luna et al. (U.S. Patent 5,008,739).

Regarding claim 2, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1, except that the determining step uses a white balance reference card in a scene of the venue.

Referring to the D'Luna et al. reference, D'Luna et al. discloses a determining step in white balance processing that uses a white balance reference card in a scene of the venue (col. 5, lines 44-47).

Art Unit: 2615

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of D'Luna et al. with Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki because it is well known in the art to use a white balance reference card for a white balancing process.

Regarding claim **14**, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1, except that the determining step comprises determining the white balance digital camera processing setting for the picture taking venue at a visit thereto using a white balance reference card positioned in a venue scene.

Referring to the D'Luna et al. reference, D'Luna et al. discloses a determining step in white balance processing that uses a white balance reference card in a scene of the venue (col. 5, lines 44-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of D'Luna et al. with Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki because it is well known in the art to use a white balance reference card in a scene of a venue for white balance processing.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Thadani et al. (U.S. Patent 6,201,530).

Regarding claim **13**, Thorpe et al. in view of Suzuki discloses all the subject matter as discussed with respect to claim 1, except that the setting further comprises a color correction matrix.

Art Unit: 2615

Referring to the Thadani et al. reference, Thadani et al. discloses a digital camera wherein the color correction matrix is part of the settings used to correct the image (Fig. 4B).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have saved the setting further comprising a color correction matrix in order to allow for a faster camera set up time the next time the user visits the venue.

6. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorpe et al. ("The All-Digital Camcorder – The Arrival of Electronic Cinematography") in view of D'Luna et al. (U.S. Patent 5,008,739).

Regarding claim **21**, Thorpe et al. discloses a process, comprising the steps of: determining, in a digital camera, image processing settings for picture taking venues during initial visits to the venues; assigning file name identifiers to the settings (page 24: col. 2, lines 2-6); saving the settings in a removable, non-volatile memory using the file name identifiers where at least one of the settings comprises an image white balance setting, an image sharpness setting, a contrast setting, and a colorfulness setting (page 23: col. 1, lines 20-36; page 21: col. 1, lines 28-30; Table 5; Fig. 16); and correcting pictures taken at a venues in subsequent visits to the venues, in the digital camera, with the saved settings contemporaneous with taking of the pictures at the venue (page 23: col. 1, lines 20-36; page 24: col. 2, line 7 – col. 3, line 11; Table 5; page 23: col. 3, line 3 – page 24: col. 1, line 6; when trying to achieve a specific image look the pictures

Art Unit: 2615

are correcting contemporaneous with the taking of the pictures). However

Thorpe et al. fails to disclose that the determining step comprises determining the
white balance digital camera processing setting for the picture taking venue at a
visit thereto using a white balance reference card positioned in a venue scene.

Referring to the D'Luna et al. reference, D'Luna et al. discloses a determining step in white balance processing that uses a white balance reference card in a scene of the venue (col. 5, lines 44-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of D'Luna et al. with Thorpe et al. because it is well known in the art to use a white balance reference card in a scene of a venue for white balance processing.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather R. Long whose telephone number is 571-272-7368. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs.: 7:00 am - 4:30 pm, and every other Fri.: 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on 571-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2615

Page 20

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Heather R Long Examiner Art Unit 2615

HRL July 8, 2005

> DAVID L. OMETZ PRIMARY EXAMINER